

[Federal Register Volume 81, Number 177 (Tuesday, September 13, 2016)]  
[Notices]  
[Pages 62870-62871]  
From the Federal Register Online via the Government Publishing Office  
[[www.gpo.gov](http://www.gpo.gov)]  
[FR Doc No: 2016-22001]

---

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-955]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of  
China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative  
Review; 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration,  
U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an  
administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain  
chemically-bonded magnesia carbon bricks from the People's Republic of  
China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2014, through  
December 31, 2014. We preliminarily find no evidence of any reviewable  
entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise to the United  
States during the POR by any of the companies subject to this review,  
and are therefore issuing a preliminary no shipments determination.

DATES: Effective September 13, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gene Calvert, AD/CVD Operations,  
Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and  
Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-  
3586.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order includes certain chemically-bonded magnesia  
carbon bricks. Certain chemically-bonded magnesia carbon bricks that  
are the subject of this order are currently classifiable under the  
following subheadings of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United  
States (HTSUS): 6902.10.1000, 6902.10.5000, 6815.91.0000,  
6815.99.2000, and 6815.99.4000. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for  
convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of

the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.\1\ The written description is dispositive.

---

\1\ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Department Memorandum, ``Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China; 2014,' (dated concurrently with this notice) (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

---

#### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Preliminary Decision Memorandum contains a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, and is a public document on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum is identical in content.

#### Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Based on information submitted after the initiation of this administrative review, and due to the fact that we have not received any information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) indicating that the companies subject to this review had reviewable entries to the United States during the POR,\2\ the Department has preliminarily determined that the record evidence indicates that no company subject to this review had reviewable entries during the POR. As is our practice, the Department finds that it is not

[[Page 62871]]

appropriate to rescind this review, but, rather, to complete this review and to issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.\3\  

---

\2\ See the Preliminary Decision Memorandum for a list of companies subject to this review.

\3\ See, e.g., Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent to Revoke the Order (in Part); 2011-2012, 78 FR 15686 (March 12, 2013) and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 7-8, unchanged in

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Revocation of Order (in Part); 2011-2012, 78 FR 42497 (July 16, 2013) at the section, ``Rescission, in Part;'' see also Silicomanganese From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015, 81 FR 28826 (May 10, 2016) and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 3.

---

#### Assessment Rates

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final results of this review.

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the publication of this notice.\4\ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.\5\ Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.\6\ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed through ACCESS.\7\ In order to be properly filed, ACCESS must successfully receive an electronically-filed document in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the date on which it is due.

---

- \4\ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii).
  - \5\ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).
  - \6\ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).
  - \7\ See 19 CFR 351.303.
- 

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or wish to participate in a hearing if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically through ACCESS, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.\8\ Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs.

---

- \8\ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
- 

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of

publication of this notice, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double countervailing duties.

#### Notice to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 6, 2016.

Christian Marsh,  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016-22001 Filed 9-12-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P



C-570-955  
Administrative Review  
POR: 01/01/2014-12/31/2014  
**Public Document**  
E&C Office VII: GHC

September 6, 2016

MEMORANDUM TO: Christian Marsh  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations

FROM: Gary Taverman   
Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary  
for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations

SUBJECT: Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the  
Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Magnesia  
Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China; 2014

---

## I. SUMMARY

The Department is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain magnesia carbon bricks (MCBs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. This administrative review was requested by the MCB Fair Trade Committee (MCBFTC), an *ad hoc* association of U.S. producers of magnesia carbon bricks.<sup>1</sup> We preliminarily find that there is no evidence of any reviewable entries, shipments, or U.S. sales of subject merchandise during the POR by the Companies Subject to Review.<sup>2</sup> As a result, we are preliminarily issuing a determination of no shipments.

---

<sup>1</sup> The MCBFTC is comprised of three U.S. producers of magnesia carbon bricks: Resco Products, Inc. (Resco); Magnesita Refractories Company; and Harbison Walker International, Inc. (collectively, MCBFTC). See Letter to the Secretary from the MCBFTC, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review," (September 30, 2015). Resco is the petitioner in the underlying CVD investigation.

<sup>2</sup> The following companies are subject to this administrative review: Dashiqiao City Guancheng Refractor Co., Ltd. (*aka* Dashiqiao City Guancheng Refractory Co., Ltd.); Fedmet Resources Corporation (Fedmet); Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City; Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City; Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City; Jiangsu Sujia Group New Materials Co., Ltd.; Liaoning Fucheng Refractories Group Co., Ltd.; Liaoning Fucheng Special Refractory Co., Ltd.; Liaoning Jiayi Metals & Minerals Co., Ltd.; Puyang Refractories Group Co., Ltd.; RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd. (RHI); Yingkou Bayuquan Refractories Co., Ltd. (Yingkou Bayuquan); Yingkou Dalmond Refractories Co., Ltd.; Yingkou Guangyang Co., Ltd.; Yingkou Jiahe Refractories Co. Ltd.; Yingkou Kyushu Refractories Co., Ltd.; Yingkou New Century Refractories Ltd.; and Yingkou Wonjin Refractory Material Co., Ltd. (collectively, Companies Subject to Review). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 69193 (November 9, 2015) (*Initiation Notice*).



## II. BACKGROUND

On September 21, 2010, we published the CVD order on MCBs from the PRC.<sup>3</sup> Subsequently, on September 1, 2015, we notified interested parties of their opportunity to request an administrative review on this CVD order.<sup>4</sup> On September 30, 2015, the MCBFTC submitted a timely request for an administrative review of 18 companies.<sup>5</sup> We published the *Initiation Notice* on November 9, 2015, stating that in the event we limited the number of respondents for individual examination, we intended to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data.<sup>6</sup>

On November 10, 2015, we notified interested parties that CBP's database, which is comprised of data that are compiled from actual entries of subject merchandise, demonstrated no POR entries of MCBs from the PRC that are subject to CVD duties with respect to the Companies Subject to Review.<sup>7</sup>

On November 13, 2015, we requested that CBP confirm whether any shipments of MCBs from the PRC entered the United States during the POR with respect to the Companies Subject to Review.<sup>8</sup>

On December 8 and December 9, 2015, we received no shipment certifications from RHI; Yingkou Bayuquan; Fedmet; and from the Fengchi Companies.<sup>9</sup> In its December 9, 2015, no shipment certification, Fedmet stated that it is a U.S. importer of MCBs and other non-subject merchandise from the PRC, and that it is not a Chinese producer or exporter of MCBs.<sup>10</sup> Fedmet also stated that it is not affiliated with any Chinese producer.<sup>11</sup>

On December 30, 2015, CBP responded to our November 13, 2015, no shipment inquiry request and provided information on certain POR entries of subject merchandise.<sup>12</sup> Our review of the CBP

---

<sup>3</sup> See *Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 75 FR 57442 (September 21, 2010).

<sup>4</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation, Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 80 FR 52741 (September 1, 2015).

<sup>5</sup> See Letter to the Secretary from the MCBFTC, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review," (September 30, 2015).

<sup>6</sup> See *Initiation Notice* at 80 FR 69194.

<sup>7</sup> See Department Memorandum, "Release of Customs and Border Protection Data for Respondent Selection," (November 10, 2015) (Results of CBP Query for Respondent Selection).

<sup>8</sup> See CBP Msg. No. 5317316 (November 13, 2015), placed on the record of this review under ACCESS barcode no. 3417872-01 (November 17, 2015) (No Shipment Inquiry).

<sup>9</sup> See Letter to the Secretary from RHI, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from China (CVD); Notice of No Sales," (December 8, 2015); see also Letter to the Secretary from Yingkou Bayuquan, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China, Case No. C-570-955: No Shipments Letter," (December 9, 2015); see also Letter to the Secretary from Fedmet, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China, Case No. C-570-955: No Shipments Letter," (December 9, 2015) (Fedmet No Shipment Certification); and Letter to the Secretary from Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City; Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City; and Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City (collectively, the Fengchi Companies), "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China, Case No. C-570-955: No Shipments Letter," (December 9, 2015).

<sup>10</sup> See Fedmet No Shipment Certification at 1-2.

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> See Department Memorandum, "No Shipment Inquiries Regarding Certain Companies," (January 27, 2016) (CBP No Shipment Response).

No Shipment Response leads us to conclude that there were no POR entries of subject merchandise with respect to the Companies Subject to Review.

On February 11, 2016, the MCBFTC requested that we gather additional information on the POR entries referenced in the CBP No Shipment Response.<sup>13</sup> Based on the MCBFTC's request, we gathered entry summary packages from CBP for the entries listed in the CBP No Shipment Response, and provided interested parties with an opportunity to comment on this information.<sup>14</sup> On May 13, 2016, we received timely comments from Fedmet and from the MCBFTC on the CBP Entry Summaries.<sup>15</sup> In its comments, Fedmet provided a statement to support its December 9, 2015 no shipment certification, and contends that the CBP Entry Summaries provide no indication that the entries in question constitute subject merchandise.<sup>16</sup> With respect to the MCBFTC's comments, the MCBFTC requested we issue a questionnaire to clarify information on the record, particularly regarding the CBP Entry Summaries.<sup>17</sup> However, our review of the CBP Entry Summaries leads us to conclude that the entries referenced therein are subject to AD and CVD duties under the AD and CVD orders for MCBs from the PRC.<sup>18</sup> As a result, we concluded that it was not necessary to gather additional information on these entries.

On May 20, 2016, we extended the time limit for these preliminary results from June 7, 2016, to no later than September 5, 2016.<sup>19</sup> On this same day, the MCBFTC requested we issue a questionnaire to Fedmet to clarify information that Fedmet submitted in its May 13, 2016 submission.<sup>20</sup> On May 27, 2016, Fedmet requested that we reject the MCBFTC's May 20, 2016 submission as untimely, arguing that that we made no provision to accept rebuttal comments regarding the CBP Entry Summaries.<sup>21</sup> However, our memorandum placing the CBP Entry Summaries on the record did not instruct parties that rebuttal comments would be rejected.<sup>22</sup> As a result, we find that the MCBFTC's May 20, 2016 submission is timely, and that rejecting this submission is not warranted.

---

<sup>13</sup> See Letter to the Secretary from the MCBFTC, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Comments on CBP's Response To No Shipment Inquiry, (February 11, 2016).

<sup>14</sup> See Department Memorandum, "Placement of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Entry Summary Packages on the Record of the Administrative Review," (April 25, 2016) (CBP Entry Summaries).

<sup>15</sup> See Letter to the Secretary from Fedmet, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China, Case No. C-570-955: Comments on CBP Entry Summary Packages," (May 13, 2016); see also Letter to the Secretary from the MCBFTC, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Comments on CBP Entry Packages," (May 13, 2016). We note that comments from Fedmet and from the MCBFTC on the CBP Entry Summaries concern business proprietary information and cannot be summarized in this public Decision Memorandum. For our analysis of the information contained in the CBP Entry Summaries, see the Department Memorandum, "Preliminary Results of the 2014 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Analysis Memorandum," (September 6, 2016) (Preliminary Analysis Memorandum).

<sup>16</sup> See Fedmet's May 13, 2016 submission at 2-3.

<sup>17</sup> See the MCBFTC's May 13, 2016 submission at 2-4.

<sup>18</sup> See Preliminary Analysis Memorandum.

<sup>19</sup> See Department Memorandum, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," (May 20, 2016); see also, *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

<sup>20</sup> See Letter from the MCBFTC, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Rebuttal To Fedmet's Comments on CBP Entry Packages," (May 20, 2016).

<sup>21</sup> See Letter from Fedmet, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China, Case No. C-570-955: Request to Reject Petitioner's Rebuttal Comments on CBP Entry Packages," (May 27, 2016).

<sup>22</sup> See CBP Entry Summaries.

### III. SCOPE OF THE ORDER

The scope of the order includes certain chemically-bonded (resin or pitch), magnesia carbon bricks with a magnesia component of at least 70 percent magnesia (MgO) by weight, regardless of the source of raw materials for the MgO, with carbon levels ranging from trace amounts to 30 percent by weight, regardless of enhancements (for example, magnesia carbon bricks can be enhanced with coating, grinding, tar impregnation or coking, high temperature heat treatments, anti-slip treatments or metal casing) and regardless of whether or not antioxidants are present (for example, antioxidants can be added to the mix from trace amounts to 15 percent by weight as various metals, metal alloys, and metal carbides). Certain magnesia carbon bricks that are the subject of these orders are currently classifiable under subheadings 6902.10.1000, 6902.10.5000, 6815.91.0000, 6815.99.2000 and 6815.99.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive.

### IV. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF NO SHIPMENTS

Based on our examination of the record, we preliminarily determine that, consistent with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Companies Subject to Review had no reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>23</sup> Any POR entries entered by companies other than the Companies Subject to Review will be subject to countervailing duties under the CVD order on MCBs from the PRC.

### V. RECOMMENDATION

We recommend applying the above determination of no shipments for these preliminary results. In addition, we recommend not rescinding this review but, rather, completing this review and issuing appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.<sup>24</sup>

Agree  Disagree

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christian Marsh  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations

9/6/16  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

<sup>23</sup> See Preliminary Analysis Memorandum.

<sup>24</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent to Revoke the Order (in Part)*; 2011-2012, 78 FR 15686 (March 12, 2013) and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 7-8, unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Revocation of Order (in Part)*; 2011-2012, 78 FR 42497 (July 16, 2013) at the section, "Rescission, in Part;" see also *Silicomanganese From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: 2014-2015*, 81 FR 28826 (May 10, 2016) and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 3.